

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,212	03/31/2004	Rick Allen Hamilton	AUS920030956US1 7111		
759	90 05/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Gregory W. Ca		ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE			
670 Founders So 900 Jackson Stre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Dallas, TX 75		3661			
		DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,21	2	HAMILTON ET A	HAMILTON ET AL.			
		Examiner	<u>-, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Art Unit				
			Gertrude A	rthur-Jeanglaude	3661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 junication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 66(a). In no ever ill apply and will cause the appli	S COMMUNICATI nt, however, may a reply be expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cation to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this of the NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 06 Ma	arch 2006					
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
' =						e merits is		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merital closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
				.,,				
•	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
'=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	۲.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)[objected to by th	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/31/04</u> .			4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,847,889) in view of Shanbhag (U.S. Pub No.20020012386).

As to claims 1, 6, 10, Park et al. disclose an apparatus and computer program product for navigating a vehicle comprising a Global positioning system receiver as shown in Fig. 12, wherein the GPS receiver at least determines GPS coordinates of the vehicle; a Radio frequency (RF) receiver, wherein the RF receiver is at least configured to receive a plurality of RF signals, wherein the plurality of RF signals are at least configured to contain GPS coordinates of traffic or environmental conditions; it further discloses a processing unit, wherein the processing unit is at least configured to receive the GPS coordinates of the vehicle, and to calculate alternate routes (optimum routes) of vehicle travel around the traffic or environmental conditions in response to GPS coordinates of the vehicle and the traffic or environmental conditions (See col. 5, lines 30-50); but fails to specifically disclose to decode the plurality of RF signals. In an analogous art, Shanbhaq discloses a processor that comprises a decoder for decoding

Application/Control Number: 10/815,212

Art Unit: 3661

RF signals (See paragraph 0072, 0073). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Park et al. with that of Shanbhag by having a decoder for decoding rf signals in order to conserve memory space.

As to claims 2, 7, 11, 15, Park et al. disclose the RF receiver is an Amplitude Modulation (AM) radio receiver, a Frequency Modulation (FM) radio receiver, a cellular receiver, or a satellite receiver (See col. 5, lines 42-57).

As to claims 3, 14, Park et al. a navigating a vehicle comprising a Global positioning system receiver as shown in Fig. 12, wherein the GPS receiver at least determines GPS coordinates of the vehicle; a Radio frequency (RF) receiver, wherein the RF receiver is at least configured to receive a plurality of RF signals, wherein the plurality of RF signals are at least configured to contain GPS coordinates of traffic or environmental conditions; it further discloses a processing unit, wherein the processing unit is at least configured to receive the GPS coordinates of the vehicle, (See col. 5, lines 30-50); but fails to specifically disclose to decode the plurality of RF signals. In an analogous art, Shanbhag discloses a processor that comprises a decoder for decoding RF signals (See paragraph 0072, 0073). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Park et al. with that of Shanbhag by having a decoder for decoding rf signals in order to conserve memory space.

As to claim 16, Park et al. discloses the processing unit as discussed comprising a navigation unit, wherein the navigation unit calculates alternate routes (optimum

Art Unit: 3661

routes) based on the GPS coordinates of the traffic or environmental conditions and the GPS coordinates of the vehicle (See col. 5, lines 30-50).

As to claims 4-5, 8-9, 12-13, 17-18, Park et al. disclose a storage unit for storing map data and traffic related in real time which is obvious to include a date and time of the traffic and to determine historical trends of the traffic (See col. 5, lines 30-67).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Clark (U.S. Patent No. 6,850,187)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,212 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

May 8, 2006

GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDE PRIMARY EXAMINER